IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 14 OF 2007
IN
APPEAL UNDER E.S.I. NO. 9 OF 2006

GOA BOTTLING CO. PVT. LTD., ... Applicant
Versus
REGIONAL DIRECTOR, ESIC PANCHADEEP BHAVAN ... Respondent

Mr. Vijay Palekar, for the Petitioner.

Mrs. A. A. Agni with Mr. M.S. Prabhudessai, for the Respondent.

Coram:- S.A.BOBDE, J.

Date:- 29th March, 2007

P.C.:

The only contention raised on behalf of the Applicant is that the Court has not construed the Circular dated 16.11.1981. According to the applicant, on proper construction of the Circular, it would be apparent that the Circular would be applicable even in respect of items other than maintenance to buildings. This Court has considered the question and come to the conclusion that the Circular would be applicable only to the item of maintenance to buildings and not to other items in dispute.

2. In case the applicant is aggrieved, the applicant may take recourse to such remedy as may be available to him in law. There is no mistake or error of law apparent on the face of the record.

3. The application for review is rejected. Civil Application No. 69/2007 is accordingly disposed of.

4. Mrs. Agni, the learned counsel for the non-applicant states that the

garnishee order had taken effect before this Court passed an Order on 23rd March, 2007. In the circumstances the said order is recalled.

S.A.BOBDE, J.

sl.